BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

* * * * * * * * *

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) FINAL FOR BENEFICIAL WATER USE PERMIT) ORDER NO. 32917-s41K BY RICHARD AND) CONNIE H. MATSKO

On April 2, 1984, the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation issued a Show Cause Order to Objector Montana Power Company (hereafter, "MPC").

Memorandum of Cause by MPC

A. MPC's response to the Show Cause Order also reasserted several of their arguments made in response to the Proposal for Decision in <u>Don Brown</u>. The Department incorporates its response to MPC's arguments numbered 2, 3, 6, 8, 10 as set forth in the Final Order in <u>Don Brown</u>, April 24, 1984.

3. Property rights will be adversely affected.

8. The Order changes the statutory burden of proof.

MPC's argument number 10 is too vague to be responded to with particularity. MPC suggests the hearing officer look at the docket as evidence that MPC has presented arguments that <u>Don Brown</u> is afflicted with errors of law or otherwise improper. MPC's complaint, however, is still too vague to provide the Department any substantive clue as to the errors MPC claims infect <u>Don Brown</u>.

These MPC arguments are:
2. Unappropriated water in the proposed source is non-existent.

^{6.} Evidence shows the Power Company's water rights are presently not being satisfied.

^{10.} All Final Orders issued by the Department are afflicted with errors of law and are otherwise improper, and the Power Company has appealed every Final Order which adversely affects its rights.

FINAL ORDER

- 1. MPC's objections to Beneficial Water Use Permit
 Application No. 32917-s41K by Richard and Connie H. Matsko are
 hereby declared invalid and are stricken herefrom.
- 2. Subject to the terms, restrictions, conditions, and limitations specified below, Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit No. 32917-s4lK is hereby granted to Richard and Connie H. Matsko to appropriate 32 gallons up to 9.2 acre-feet per year for new sprinkler irrigation of 4 acres more or less located in the NW½ of Section 9, Township 20 North, Range 3 East, all in Cascade County, Montana. In no event shall these waters be diverted prior to April 1 of any given year, nor subsequent to October 31 of any given year. The source of supply shall be Sun River, at a point in the SW½SW½NW½ of Section 9, Township 20 North, Range 3 East, all in Cascade County, Montana. The priority date for this Permit shall be May 5, 1981 at 11:14 a.m.
- A) This permit is subject to all prior existing water rights in the source of supply. Further, this Permit is subject to any final determination of existing water rights, as provided for by Montana Law.

- B) The issuance of this permit by the Department shall not reduce the Permittee's liability for damages caused by Permittee's exercise of this permit, nor does the Department in issuing the permit in any way acknowledge liability for damage caused by the Permittee's exercise of this permit.
- C) The Permittee shall in no event cause to be diverted from the source of supply more water than is reasonably required for the purposes described herein. At all times when the water is not reasonably required for these purposes, Permittee shall cause and otherwise allow the waters to remain in the source of supply.
- D) The Permittee shall diligently adhere to these terms and conditions. Failure to adhere to the terms and conditions may result in the revocation of this Permit.

DONE this ___ day of _____1984.

Gary Fritz Administrator
Water Resources Division
Department of Natural Resources
and Conservation
32 South Ewing, Helena, MT 59620
(406) 444 - 6605

NOTICE

The Department's Final Order may be appealed in accordance with the Montana Administrative Procedure Act, Title 2, Part 4, Chapter 7, by filing a petition for judicial review in the appropriate District Court within (30) days of service hereof.

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

STATE OF MONTANA)
) ss.
County of Lewis & Clark)

Donna K. Elser, an employee of the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, being duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that on ________, 1984, she deposited in the United _______ mail, an order by the Department on the Application by Richard and Connie H. Matsko, Application No. 32917-41K, for an Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit, addressed to each of the following persons or agencies:

- 1. Richard and Connie H. Matsko, Rural Route 1072, Great Falls, MT 59401
- 2. Montana Power Co., c/o Paul Stahl, Attorney, 301 First National Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 1715, Helena, MT 59624 Land delivered
- 3. Sam Rodriquez, Water Rights Bureau Field Manager, Lewistown (inter-departmental mail)
- 4. Gary Fritz, Administrator, Water Resources (hand deliver)

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION
by Muna llsu

STATE OF MONTANA

) ss.

County of Lewis & Clark)

On this day of ______, 1984, before me, a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared Donna Elser, known to me to be the Hearings Recorder of the Department that executed this instrument or the persons who executed the instrument on behalf of said Department, and acknowledged to me that such Department executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above

written.

C. 131331

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION OF THE STATE OF MONTANA

* * * * * * * * *

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR BENEFICIAL WATER USE PERMIT NO. 32917-541K BY RICHARD AND CONNIE H. MATSKO

CRDER TO SHOW CAUSE

* * * * * * * * *

The objection filed with the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation by the Montana Power Company to the above-named application is identical in language to a number of objections previously filed by this entity with respect to similar applications. These objections all claim generally that there is a lack of unappropriated water available for the applicants' purposes, and that diversions made pursuant to these applicants' plans would result in adverse affect to the water rights claimed by the Montana Power Company. See MCA 85-2-311(1a) and (1b).

No claim is made either expressly or by implication in the present objection that the Applicant's proposed use is not a beneficial one, or that the Applicant's proposed means of diversion are not adequate for his purposes. See MCA 85-2-311(1d) and (1c). Nor has the Department in its own behalf indicated any concerns for the existence of these statutory criteria for a new water use permit. See generally, MCA 85-2-310(2).

Commencing with the Proposal for Decision In re Brown, and continuing through a number of applications where the Montana Power Company presented evidence at hearings held pursuant thereto, the Department of Natural Resources and Conservation has concluded that the scope and extent of Montana Power Company's rights to the use of the water resource as indicated by the evidence therein did not warrant denial of the respective applications for new water use permits. Since the instant objection alleges similar matters to those involved in prior hearings, hearings on the factual issues suggested by the present controversy threaten a waste of time and undue time and expense to the parties involved. See generally, MCA 2-4-611(3) (1981); MCA 85-2-309 (1982). The principles of starie decisis dictate that Montana Power Company be compelled to make a preliminary showing that its objection to the instant application has merit.

WHEREFORE, the Montana Power Company is hereby directed to show cause why its objection should not be stricken and the instant application approved according to the terms thereof. Said Objector shall file with the Department within 20 days of the service of this Order, affidavits and/or other documentation demonstrating that the present Applicant is not similarly situated with respect to prior applicants for whom permits have been proposed over this Objector's objections; and/or offers of proof as to matters not presented in prior hearings, which matters compel different results herein; and/or argument that the proposed dispositions in such prior matters were afflicted by error of law

or were otherwise improper; and/or any other matter that demonstrates that the present objection states a valid cause for denial or modification of the instant application.

DONE this 24th day of April, 1984.

Gary Fritz, Administrator
Water Resources Division
Department of Natural Resources
and Conservation
32 South Ewing, Helena, MT 59620
(406) 444 - 6605

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

STATE OF MONTANA) ss. County of Lewis & Clark)

Donna K. Elser, an employee of the Montana Department of Natural Resources and Conservation, being duly sworn on oath, deposes and says that on 1984, she deposited in the United States mail, mail, an order by the Department on the Application by Richard and Connie H. Matsko, Application No. 32917-s41K, for an Application for Beneficial Water Use Permit, addressed to each of the following persons or agencies:

- Richard and Connie H. Matsko, Rural Route 1072, Great Falls, MT 1.
- Montana Power Co., 40 East Broadway, Butte, MT 59701
- K. Paul Stahl, Attorney, 301 First National Bank Bldg., P.O. Box 1715, Helena, MT 59624 (Land deliver)
 Sam Rodriquez, Lewistown Field Office (inter-departmental mail)
- Gary Fritz, Administrator, Water Resources (hand deliver)

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND CONSERVATION by Mennak. Men

STATE OF MONTANA

) ss.

County of Lewis & Clark)

(Lotil, 1984, before me, a Notary On this 24/2 day of Public in and for said state, personally appeared Donna Elser, known to me to be the Hearings Recorder of the Department that executed this instrument or the persons who executed the instrument on behalf of said Department, and acknowledged to me that such Department executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal, the day and year in this certificate first above

written.

Notary Public for the State of Montana Residing at Montana Lity, Montana My Commission expires 377-85